

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/734,228	12/11/2000	Helmut Lucke	450117-02965	450117-02965 5435	
20999	7590 03/08/2006		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			JACKSON, JAKIEDA R		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2655		

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/734,228	LUCKE, HELMUT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jakieda R. Jackson	2655		

		2000				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completollowing time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		IRST REPLY WAS FILEI	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
$\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	,	· —	because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):	·				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) ahowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 4-7-12 and 14-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by	ut before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u>	<u>ot</u> be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.			
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:			
2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
3.						
•						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues have been added requiring further consideration of the art under 103.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 14 applicants discloses the newly added limitations of a method of recognizing speech wherein said at least one series of words substaintially comprises at least one low-perplexity part which can be analyzed and recognized with a high degree of reliability, and remaining parts which are treated as high-perplexity parts, and wherein pragmatic information contained in said at least one low-perplexity part with respect to at least one of said high-perplexity parts may be used to explain said at least one of said high-perplexity parts.

Therefore, the proposed amendments will not be enetered.

3/5/00

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600